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August 16, 2011

John M. Moffat, Region 10 Administrator
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
915 Second Ave Ste 3140
Seattle WA  98174-1079

Subject: FFY 2012 Section 402 Governor’s Highway Safety Plan and Performance Plan

Dear Mr. Moffat,

We appreciate the opportunity to submit the FFY 2012 Governor’s Highway Traffic Safety Plan (HSP) and FFY 2012 Performance Plan for your review, in accordance with the requirements of 23 CFR Part 1200.10.

The strategies and countermeasures within the HSP continue focusing on behavioral related programs that reduce the personal, social, and economic costs resulting from injuries and fatalities in motor vehicle crashes. Some of the highlights of the plan, if approved, allow us to continue:

- The Selective Traffic Enforcement Program (STEP) by contracting with local and state law enforcement agencies to enforce Montana’s impaired driving and safety belt laws during overtime patrols, both in support of national mobilizations and at other high-risk times.
- Funding the Montana Highway Patrol to conduct strategic enforcement using mobile “roving” patrols that focus on the high crash corridors identified by MDT. This includes roads with a history of high alcohol-related fatality rates and low safety belt usage rates.
- Paid and earned media that coincides with high-risk time periods and national mobilizations.
- Implementing programs to help reduce the motor vehicle fatality rate among Montana’s American Indian population.
- On-going funding for DUI courts to help them continue their accountability/rehabilitation programs with repeat DUI offenders and those who have driven with high blood alcohol concentrations.

We will continue to seek out new countermeasures by working with our traffic safety advocates in support of the emphasis areas listed in the Comprehensive Highway Safety Plan. Our objective is to achieve lasting change that will result in safer Montana roads for drivers.

I look forward to continuing our partnership to save lives by implementing traffic safety related programs in FFY 2012 and beyond.

Sincerely,

Tim Reardon, Director
Governor’s Representative for Highway Safety

copies: Priscilla Sinclair – MDT State Highway Traffic Safety Officer
Our goal is to continue the reduction of the number and severity of traffic crashes injuries and fatalities on Montana highways through the collaboration of local and state level partners with a vested interest in traffic safety. Through this collaborative effort countermeasures are identified that align with the priorities and strategies of the Montana Highway Safety Plan and Montana’s Comprehensive Safety Plan.

This HSP addresses a wide variety of continuing and innovative countermeasures based upon the collaborative efforts of these partners. The following are key examples of these countermeasures:

1. Impaired Driving:
MDT will continue funding the salaries, benefits, and travel for five troopers that support the MHP Roving Patrol program. The MHP Roving Patrol supports local law enforcement on the enforcement of high crash corridors and other problem areas based upon recent crash data provided by MDT. These corridors were identified for having a history of vehicle related high fatality rates.

MDT is continuing the funding of one full-time Traffic Safety Resources Officer (TRSO) for the Montana Highway Patrol that focuses on a variety of traffic safety projects including prevention training for law enforcement, the judicial system, and the public. The Traffic Resource Officer (TRSO) works closely with the state’s contracted Traffic Resource Prosecutor to help deliver this training.

MDT is continuing a contract with the MT Department of Public Health and Human Services to implement the Alcohol Screening, Brief Intervention & Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) program in hospital emergency rooms. This program screens individuals who already have a serious problem with alcohol or at risk in developing this problem.

The number of traffic fatalities in Montana has dropped from 277 in 2007 to 189 in 2010.

2. Occupant Protection
MDT in FFY 2012 will award a contract to the agency that submits a successful Request for Proposal (RFP) to continue the management of local community coalitions to implementation occupant protection related education under the direction of MDT. This education program provides information to the public on the dangers of not buckling up and not using the appropriate child restraint.

MDT still continues the Native American Safe On All Roads (SOAR) program that focuses on public information and education to increase seatbelt usage and reduce impaired driving on the reservations.

3. Traffic Records System Improvements
MT will continue the MHP’s contract to continue the development of a web-based crash reporting system. This will allow local law enforcement agencies to input their crash related information electronically into the MHP MMUCC-compliant system to help maintain statewide consistency and accuracy of this information. The web-based crash reporting system is the second phase of the deployment of the MHP’s CTS-America Computer Aided Dispatch/Records Management System (CAD/RMS).

4. Legislation
The 2011 Montana Legislature introduced and passed Senate Joint Resolution 39 (SJR 39). This resolution requested a legislative study of Montana’s laws regarding driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs and asked that this study determine any legislation that might augment current law to reduce the incidence of this crime. Key legislation that passed in 2011 based upon this study included:

(Please refer to next page)
HB 106: Creates a 24/7 Sobriety Project

- Effective October 1, 2011.
- Creates a 24/7 Sobriety Program for offenders convicted of a second or subsequent offense.
- Program participation may be a condition of bond or pre-trial release as well as part of a sentence.
- Non-compliance with the program can be charged as contempt of court.

HB 12: Increase DUI Penalties

- Applies to all offenses committed on or after April 20, 2011.
- Increases potential jail time for the first offense BAC infraction to 6 months (was previously 10 days).
- For the second offense, the potential jail time is increased to 1 year (was previously 30 days).
- For a third offense jail time is also increased to 1 year (was previously 6 months).

HB 69: Revise Jail Penalties and Mandatory Minimums for DUI Court Participation

- Applies to all offenses committed on or after April 20, 2011.
- Encourages DUI Court participation by allowing for the suspension of all jail time except for the mandatory minimum to be suspended with participation.
- For the second offense, the potential jail time is increased to 1 year (this is the same penalty described under HB 12).

HB 102: Revise Probationary Driver’s License for DUI Court Participation

- Applies to all offenses committed on or after April 8, 2011.
- Participants in DUI Court may receive a probationary license at the court’s discretion.
- A person with a second conviction may not receive a probationary license for the first 45 days of their suspension.
- A person with a third conviction may not receive a probationary license for the first 90 days of their suspension.
- Chemical dependency programs must be completed before the license is reinstated.

SB 15: Create Misdemeanor Crime for Aggravated DUI

- Applies to all offenses committed on or after April 28, 2011.
- Applies if one of the following is true:
  - The driver has a BAC of .16 or more.
  - License is suspended or revoked for prior DUI/BAC.
  - Is required to have an ignition device.
  - Refuses testing and has previously refused testing in the past.
  - Has a previous DUI-related conviction in the previous 3 years or 2 DUI-related convictions in the previous 7 years.
- Penalty includes a maximum jail sentence of 1 year and a maximum fine of $1000.

SB 42: Authorize Warrants to Obtain Blood/Breath DUI Cases

Applies to all offenses committed on or after April 28, 2011
- If the suspect refuses to provide breath, blood, or urine sample, the officer may apply for a search warrant to be issued that allows him to collect a person’s blood for testing.
- Proof of refusal is still admissible in court even if a sample is obtained.

HB 106: Creates a 24/7 Sobriety Project

- Effective October 1, 2011
- Creates a 24/7 Sobriety Program for offenders convicted of second or subsequent offense.
- Non-compliance with program can be charged as contempt of courts.

SB 42: Authorize Warrants to Obtain Blood/Breath DUI Cases

Applies to all offenses committed on or after April 28, 2011
- If the suspect refuses to provide breath, blood, or urine sample, the officer may apply for a search warrant to be issued that allows him to collect a person’s blood for testing.
- Proof of refusal is still admissible in court even if a sample is obtained.

HB 102: Revise Probationary Driver’s License for DUI Court Participation

- Applies to all offenses committed on or after April 8, 2011.
- Participants in DUI Court may receive a probationary license at the court’s discretion.
- A person with a second conviction may not receive a probationary license for the first 45 days of their suspension.
- A person with a third conviction may not receive a probationary license for the first 90 days of their suspension.
- Chemical dependency programs must be completed before the license is reinstated.
This section briefly describes the processes used by the State of Montana to identify its traffic safety problems, establish performance goals and develop programs.

In addition to MDT staff, the Comprehensive Highway Safety Plan (CHSP) champions and other CHSP participants are involved in determining and developing traffic safety programs. These participants represent the following agencies:

**Federal partners**
- Federal Highways Administration
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
- Bureau of Indian Affairs
- Indian Health Service

**MT Department of Public Health & Human Services**
- Chronic Disease & Health Promotion Office
- Emergency Medical Services
- Addictive & Mental Disorders Division, Chemical Dependency Bureau

**MT Department of Justice**
- Montana Highway Patrol
- Attorney General Representative
- Montana Board of Crime Control
- Motor Vehicle Division
- Records and Driver Control
- Crime Lab

**MT Office of Court Administrator**
- State Drug Court Coordinator

**MT Office of Public Instruction**
- Driver Education

**MT Department of Corrections**

**MT Department of Revenue**
- Liquor Control and Education

**Tribal Governments**
- Tribal Chairpersons
- Tribal Transportation Planners
- Safe on All Roads Coordinators
- Tribal Law Enforcement
- MT/WY Tribal Leaders

**Police & Sheriffs Departments**

**County Health Departments**

**Other traffic safety advocates:**
- Child Passenger Safety technicians/instructors
- Governor’s Office – Indian Affairs Coordinator
- Healthy Mothers, Healthy Babies—lead agency for the Buckle Up Montana Program
- Insurance agencies
- Local DUI Task Forces
- Media contractors
- Montana Association of Counties
- Montana County attorneys Association
- Montana Sheriffs & Peace Officers Association
- Mothers Against Drunk Driving
- Motorcycle Safety Representatives
- NHTSA Region 10 Office
- Representatives from WorkSafeMT
- Universities and colleges
- Others

**MT Dept of Transportation**
- Governor’s Representative for Highway Safety
- Director’s Office
- Motor Carrier Services
- Engineering
- Planning
- Information Services
- State Highway Traffic Safety Office
1. Traffic Safety Problem Identification

The FFY 2012 HSP goals and performance measures are closely tied to those found in the Montana Comprehensive Highway Safety Plan. Both plans are data-driven.

In order to identify problems unique to Montana, we closely analyze state data and compile this information in the annual Montana Traffic Safety Problem Identification paper.

Primary data sources utilized are:
- Montana Highway Patrol statewide crash database containing reportable traffic crashes occurring upon traffic ways in Montana,
- Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) containing in-depth information on reportable fatal crashes.
- Driver and motor vehicle data provided by the Department of Justice.
- Observational seat belt surveys performed before and after Memorial Day media campaigns conducted by MDT personnel, as required by NHTSA.

2. Goals and Performance Measures

Once Montana’s problem areas are identified, performance measures are adopted and goals are set.

The annual highway safety planning meeting is held in the spring or summer of each year. The data is presented and breakout sessions are held to discuss each emphasis area. Progress is assessed, and potential new strategies and partners are identified.

MTD staff, after receiving inputs from CHSP stakeholders that attended the annual highway safety planning meeting, discusses data and problem areas directly with the Governor’s Representative for Highway Safety (GR). This ensures that state traffic safety goals are correctly identified in the CHSP and are in harmony with goals and objectives identified in the state Highway Safety Plan.

Throughout the year, MDT staff and CHSP champions continue working together to refine goals, benchmarks and performance measures. This information is shared with other stakeholders at the annual CHSP meeting and helps guide them in the development of their traffic safety related applications for submission to MDT for review. Once these applications are approved, the information from these documents is integrated in the following year’s Highway Safety Plan (HSP) as countermeasures. These countermeasures are also cross referenced in the CHSP under the appropriate traffic safety emphasis areas that include impaired driving and seatbelts. As a side note, not all applications will get funded due to limited funding sources.

3. Project Development

The SHTSO solicits applications for traffic safety related project funding by emailing information to known traffic safety stakeholders prior to the annual CHSP planning meeting. Again, this is based upon the availability of federal funds for any given year. Solicitations include goals and objectives of the CHSP, HSP, application instructions, etc. Please reference the following weblink for more information about the application process:

The SHTSO solicits additional applications from participants at the CHSP meeting who did not have the opportunity to submit an application prior to this meeting.

The State Highway Traffic Safety Office (SHTSO) collects all applications and summarizes new projects in a matrix format for the GR to review which occurs only if there are available funds. The matrixes include any comments made by staff with specific information on the reasons to fund or not fund applications based on upon federal and state regulations as well as other factors. The matrixes include new and continuing projects with the names of the applicants, description of the project, and amount requested. NHTSA funding sources are listed by each project and include estimated funds available in FFY 2012.

SHTSO provides recommendations regarding the potential funding of each proposal, with an accompanying justification for the GR to consider. The results of comments made by CHSP traffic safety stakeholders during the annual traffic safety meeting are included in the justification.

Based on available funding and all the input, the GR then makes the final decision on which projects to fund with NHTSA grant monies that he determines meets the goals and objectives of the HSP and CHSP.

The SHTSO then receives the inputs from the GR and includes these in the annual HSP for final review and signature by the GR. SHTSO sends the HSP to NHTSA Regional Office by September 1 each year for approval.
Mission statement

It is the mission of the State Highway Traffic Safety Bureau to reduce the number and severity of traffic crashes, injuries and fatalities on Montana highways.

Primary goal

All highway users in Montana arrive safely at their destinations.

In support of this vision, the State of Montana has adopted the following goal for the CHSP:

> To reduce fatalities and incapacitating injuries in the State of Montana by half in two decades, from 1,704 in 2007 to 852 by 2030.

Strategy to reach the primary goals

To address the State's highway safety needs and reduce the number of crashes and their consequences, MDT has led the development of the Statewide Comprehensive Highway Safety Plan (CHSP), involving the many agencies and officials with responsibilities for managing and supporting highway safety at the Statewide and local levels.

In collaboration with other state and local agencies and various stakeholders throughout the State, working through a multi-agency CHSP committee, MDT initiated work on the CHSP with the following objectives:

> Establish specific, quantifiable safety-related goals, objectives, and performance measures relevant to travel on Montana's highways.

> Address issues at all levels of jurisdiction with specific attention to local and tribal entities.

> Establish a mechanism for interagency coordination with respect to issues of safety and develop the necessary partnering process.

> Identify candidate safety strategies and evaluate their potential benefits, costs, and ability to attain defined performance objectives.

> Establish a process for prioritizing identified strategies based on their likely benefits and cost effectiveness, relative to the identified safety goals and objectives.

> Provide a strategic implementation plan with short-, mid-, and long-term action items, including action items which can be incorporated into MDT's plans and programs and those of other state and local agencies with functional responsibilities relevant to highway safety.

Performance goals, data, trends and benchmarks

Although goals have been set in many program areas, traffic records management and emergency medical services goals could not be satisfactorily displayed in charts and graphs.

These two areas are presented below in text, all other program area goals are presented in the charts and graphs that follow.

Traffic Records Management

Improve the timeliness, accuracy, completeness, uniformity, integration and accessibility of the data used to support highway safety analysis in Montana. The traffic records data systems include crash records, roadway data, driver and vehicle information, injury tracking information, and conviction and disposition data, as well as tribal data.

1. Implement action plan in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan
2. Improve electronic crash data
more comprehensive roadway geometrics database for the highways in Montana.

**Emergency Medical Services**

Implementation of CHSP EMS strategies.

1. **Improve EMS Education System:** ensure qualified, knowledgeable and skilled emergency medical services personnel are available in sufficient numbers throughout the State.

2. **Increase public access and communications:** provide for a communications system that encompasses public access to EMS and allows for interagency communications.

3. **Conduct a preventable mortality study:** review each trauma death to determine preventability and compare with previous studies to determine if trauma mortality rates are declining.

4. **Conduct an Advanced Automatic Crash Notification (AACN) project:** investigate options for collecting crash telemetry in identifying severe crashes before dispatching EMS and other responder resources.

5. **Review and assess national EMS research strategies and studies for application and adoption in Montana.**

---

**Traffic Records**

The systems and how they are measured
The following section provides ten years of raw data, when available, for each of Montana’s performance measures. Each of these measures are for a specific traffic safety area the State Highway Traffic Safety Bureau, as well as its partners.

NOTE: 2010 figures are based on preliminary data and are subject to change as more information becomes available.

Current goals follow on pages 9-28.

### General Traffic Safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incapacitating Injuries</td>
<td>1,433</td>
<td>1,738</td>
<td>1,634</td>
<td>1,557</td>
<td>1,541</td>
<td>1,607</td>
<td>1,427</td>
<td>1,336</td>
<td>1,110</td>
<td>996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatality Rate, per 100M VMT</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Fatality Rate, per 100M VMT</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Fatality Rate, per 100M VMT</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Fatalities</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Safety Belt Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat Belt Use, Outboard, Front Seat Occupants</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>79.5%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
<td>78.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seat Belt Use, Drivers</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>79.7%</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestrained Occupant Fatalities, Total</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestrained Occupant Fatalities, % of All Fatalities</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>69.1%</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
<td>72.8%</td>
<td>71.3%</td>
<td>62.6%</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Alcohol & Impaired Driving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities Involving BAC 0.08+</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol-Impaired Fatality Rate, per 100M VMT</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities Involving BAC 0.01+, Total</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities Involving BAC 0.01+, % of All Fatalities</td>
<td>45.2%</td>
<td>46.8%</td>
<td>48.9%</td>
<td>46.3%</td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>48.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol-Related Fatality Rate, per 100M VMT</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Native American

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native American Fatalities, Total</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American Fatalities, % of All Fatalities</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>16.0%</td>
<td>20.1%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Single Vehicle Run-Off-the-Road

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Vehicle Run-Off-the-Road Crashes</td>
<td>6,265</td>
<td>7,211</td>
<td>7,216</td>
<td>6,395</td>
<td>6,808</td>
<td>6,727</td>
<td>6,406</td>
<td>6,740</td>
<td>6,054</td>
<td>5,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Vehicle Run-Off-the-Road Fatal Crashes</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Driver (Age 20 and Below)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Driver Crash Rate, per 1,000 Licenses</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Driver Fatal Crash Rate, per 1,000 Licenses</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young Drivers Involved in Fatal Crashes</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High Crash Corridors</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities in High Crash Corridors</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incapacitating Injuries in High Crash Corridors</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crashes in High Crash Corridors</td>
<td>971</td>
<td>1,063</td>
<td>1,122</td>
<td>1,034</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>737</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trucks</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crashes Involving Trucks</td>
<td>1,159</td>
<td>1,228</td>
<td>1,288</td>
<td>1,163</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,227</td>
<td>1,223</td>
<td>1,212</td>
<td>1,052</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatal Crashes Involving Trucks</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Urban Areas</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal Crashes in Urban Areas</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motorcycles</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal Crashes Involving Motorcyclists</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcyclist Fatalities, Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcyclist Fatalities, Unhelmeted</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Older Drivers (Age 65 and Above)</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Older Driver Crash Rate, per 1,000 Licenses</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Driver Fatal Crash Rate, per 1,000 Licenses</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Driver Crashes, % of All Crashes</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>14.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older Driver Fatal Crashes, % of All Fatal</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>13.4%</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Speed Control</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speeding-Related Fatalities</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding-Related Fatal Crashes, % of All Fatal</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>43.1%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>35.3%</td>
<td>33.7%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>38.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Vehicles in Fatal Crashes Above the Speed</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Vehicles in Fatal Crashes Too Fast for Condi-</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>10.7%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>11.7%</td>
<td>10.6%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant-Funded Enforcement Activities</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seat Belt Citations Issued</td>
<td>3,299</td>
<td>4,843</td>
<td>5,892</td>
<td>5,514</td>
<td>3,257</td>
<td>7,159</td>
<td>2,373</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired Driving Arrests</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>951</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>967</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speeding Citations Issued</td>
<td>10,738</td>
<td>19,561</td>
<td>18,389</td>
<td>16,148</td>
<td>10,891</td>
<td>14,489</td>
<td>12,119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This performance measure no longer being monitored. Other performance measures being used in its place.
The following section provides the specific current goals for each performance measure. The charts provide a visual of the historical data for the goal, the current (2010) value, and a linear depiction toward our stated goals. It is important to note that some goals measure annual numbers while others measure a three- or five-year average.

For a more detailed analysis, please refer to the Traffic Safety Problem Identification at the following web location: www.mdt.mt.gov/safety/safetyprg.shtml.

**General Traffic Safety**

**2012 Goal: Reduce Fatalities**
Reduce the three-year average number of fatalities from 257 in 2008 to 220 by 2012.

**2013 Goal: Reduce Incapacitating Injuries**
Reduce the total annual number of incapacitating injuries from 1,336 in 2008 to 1,200 by 2013.
General Traffic Safety

2013 Goal: Reduce the Fatality Rate
Reduce the annual fatality rate per 100 million vehicle miles travelled from 2.45 in 2007 to 2.00 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce the Urban Fatality Rate
Reduce the annual urban fatality rate per 100 million vehicle miles travelled from 0.52 in 2007 to 0.45 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce the Rural Fatality Rate
Reduce the annual rural fatality rate per 100 million vehicle miles travelled from 3.05 in 2007 to 2.40 by 2013.
**Seat Belt Use**

2013 Goal: Increase Seat Belt Use of Outboard, Front Seat Vehicle Occupants

Increase the annual statewide seat belt use for outboard, front seat vehicle occupants from 79.2% in 2009 to 87% by 2013.

2013 Goal: Increase Seat Belt Use by Drivers

Increase the annual statewide seat belt use for vehicle drivers from 79.1% in 2009 to 87% by 2013.
**Seat Belt Use**

**2013 Goal: Reduce Unrestrained Vehicle Occupant Fatalities, Total**
Reduce the five-year average number of unrestrained vehicle occupant fatalities from 143 in 2008 to 135 by 2013.

**2013 Goal: Reduce Unrestrained Vehicle Occupant Fatalities, Percent**
Reduce the five-year average number of unrestrained vehicle occupant fatalities as a percent of all vehicle occupant fatalities from 71.1% in 2008 to 67.5% by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Alcohol-Impaired Fatalities
Reduce the three-year average number of fatalities in crashes involving an alcohol-impaired driver or motorcycle operator (BAC 0.08+) from 105 in 2007 to 99 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Alcohol-Impaired Fatality Rate
Reduce the three-year average alcohol-impaired (driver or motorcycle operator with BAC 0.08+) fatality rate per 100 million vehicle miles travelled from 0.93 in 2007 to 0.88 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Alcohol-Related Fatalities, Total
Reduce the three-year average number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with BAC 0.01+ from 125 in 2007 to 110 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Alcohol-Related Fatalities, Percent
Reduce the three-year average number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with BAC 0.01+ as a percent of all fatalities from 47.4% in 2007 to 42% by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Native American Fatalities, Total
Reduce the five-year average number of Native American fatalities from 40 in 2008 to 30 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Native American Fatalities, Percent
Reduce the five-year average number of Native American fatalities as a percent of all fatalities from 15.7% in 2008 to 13% by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Single Vehicle, Run-Off-The-Road Crashes

Reduce the total annual number of single vehicle, run-off-the-road crashes from 6,740 in 2008 to 6,000 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Single Vehicle, Run-Off-The-Road Fatal Crashes

Reduce the three-year average number of single vehicle, run-off-the-road crashes from 137 in 2008 to 120 by 2013.
**Young Driver Crashes (Age 20 and Below)**

**2013 Goal: Reduce the Young Driver Crash Rate**
Reduce the three-year average crash rate per 1,000 licensed drivers age 20 and below from 118 in 2008 to 115 by 2013.

**2013 Goal: Reduce the Young Driver Fatal Crash Rate**
Reduce the three-year average fatal crash rate per 1,000 licensed drivers age 20 and below from 0.61 in 2008 to 0.57 by 2013.

![Graph showing the trend of Young Driver Crash Rate and Fatal Crash Rate from 2001 to 2013.](image-url)
**Young Driver Crashes (Age 20 and Below)**

**2013 Goal: Reduce Young Driver Fatal Crashes**

Reduce the three-year average number of fatal crashes involving drivers age 20 and below from 34 in 2008 to 28 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Fatalities in High Crash Enforcement Corridors
Reduce the five-year average number of fatalities occurring in high crash enforcement corridors from 17 in 2008 to 15 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Incapacitating Injuries in High Crash Enforcement Corridors
Reduce the five-year average number of incapacitating injuries occurring in high crash enforcement corridors from 115 in 2008 to 100 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Crashes in High Crash Enforcement Corridors

Reduce the three-year average number of crashes occurring in high crash enforcement corridors from 977 in 2008 to 925 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Truck Crashes

Reduce the total annual number of crashes involving trucks from 1,212 in 2008 to 1,150 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Fatal Truck Crashes

Reduce the five-year average number of fatal crashes involving trucks from 24 in 2008 to 20 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Fatal Crashes in Urban Areas

Reduce the five-year average number of fatal crashes occurring in urban areas from 25 in 2008 to 23 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Fatal Crashes Involving Motorcycles

Reduce the five-year average number of fatal crashes involving motorcycles from 29 in 2008 to 24 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Motorcyclist Fatalities
Reduce the five-year average number of motorcyclist fatalities from 30 in 2008 to 25 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Fatalities for Motorcyclists Not Wearing Helmets
Reduce the five-year average number of fatalities for motorcyclists not wearing helmets from 17 in 2008 to 14 by 2013.
**Speed Control**

**2013 Goal: Reduce Speeding-Related Fatalities**
Reduce the three-year average number of speeding-related fatalities from 94 in 2008 to 85 by 2013.

**2013 Goal: Reduce Speeding-Related Fatal Crashes, Percent**
Reduce the five-year average number of speeding-related fatal crashes as a percent of all fatal crashes from 37.9% in 2008 to 35.0% by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Pedestrian Fatalities

Reduce the five-year average number of pedestrian fatalities from 13 in 2008 to 11 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce the Older Driver Crash Rate
Reduce the three-year average crash rate per 1,000 licensed drivers age 65 and above from 25 in 2008 to 22 by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce the Older Driver Fatal Crash Rate
Reduce the three-year average fatal crash rate per 1,000 licensed drivers age 65 and above from 0.31 in 2008 to 0.26 by 2013.
2013 Goal: Reduce Older Driver Crashes, Percent
Reduce the three-year average number of crashes involving drivers age 65 and above as a percent of all crashes from 13.3% in 2008 to 13.0% by 2013.

2013 Goal: Reduce Older Driver Fatal Crashes, Percent
Reduce the three-year average number of fatal crashes involving drivers age 65 and above as a percent of all fatal crashes from 16.0% in 2008 to 15.0% by 2013.
Grant-Funded Enforcement Activities

The following three measures are simply a report on Montana's grant-funded enforcement activities and include a chart of the historical data for each measure.

**Measure: Seat Belt Citations**
2,373 seat belt citations were issued during grant-funded enforcement activities during FFY2010.

**Measure: Impaired Driving Arrests**
967 impaired driving arrests were made during grant-funded enforcement activities during FFY2010.

**Measure: Speeding Citations**
12,119 speeding citations were issued during grant-funded enforcement activities during FFY2010.
MDT continues efforts to implement Data-Driven Approaches to Crime and Traffic Safety (DDACTS) to increase collaboration between law enforcement agencies to help heighten the presence of high visibility enforcement in Montana at strategic places and times. As a starting point for executing long-term change, law enforcement professionals can take a more integrated approach to deploying personnel and resources by using DDACTS.

Many law enforcement agencies struggle with manpower shortages and limited resources. DDACTS provides a scientifically based method for law enforcement to accurately target its efforts. It also emphasizes collaboration, which helps to overcome artificial boundaries created by city, county and tribal jurisdictions.

Taking advantage of modern technology, geo-mapping is used to identify areas that have high incidences of crime and crashes.

DDACTS draws on the deterrent value of highly visible traffic enforcement and the knowledge that crimes often involve the use of motor vehicles.

DDACTS relies on seven guiding principles for its implementation.

1. Partners & Stakeholder Participation
   Partnerships among law enforcement agencies and with local stakeholders are essential. Community residents and businesses are a good source of information about where and when crime and traffic safety issues occur. Stakeholder and partner support for highly visible traffic enforcement is vital to the success of a DDACTS initiative. SHTSO already has a strong network in place, but the local law enforcement agencies will need to work with their DUI Task Forces and other community groups to ensure local support for heightened HVE.

2. Data Collection
   Place-based, current crime, crash, and traffic-related data, coded for type of incident, time of day, and day of week are the building blocks of DDACTS. Additional data may include citizen complaints, field interviews, dangerous driving behaviors, and other nontraditional data such as the location of parolees and probationers, individuals with suspended or revoked licenses, and wanted persons. Montana intends to overlay the data with the location of other traffic citations and liquor licenses.

3. Data Analysis
   The creation of integrated maps that overlay crime, crash, and traffic-related data lets agencies identify problem locations, or “Hot Spots.” Additional analysis, through a number of proven Hot Spot evaluation techniques, can distinguish causation factors for each type of data, delineate spatial and temporal factors, and consider environmental influences on crime and crashes.

   High visibility enforcement in Montana will continued to be directed at high risk times, using local and statewide data collection and analysis. Attached are two draft maps which will create the starting point for the efforts in Montana.

4. Strategic Operations
   Based on data analysis, agencies are able to identify Hot Spots that focus enforcement on activities and countermeasures. Hot Spot analysis guides the realignment of workflow and operational assignments to focus enforcement efforts and increase efficiency.

5. Information Sharing and Outreach
   Built into the model are opportunities to share results, promote community participation, and document accomplishments. Regularly generated progress reports provide management the documentation needed to keep officers informed, hold meetings with community members, and report to government administrators and elected officials. Progress reports also provide the basis for ongoing media relations.

6. Monitoring, Evaluation, & Adjustments
   Data collection and analysis procedures allow for the monitoring, evaluating, and adjusting of field and internal operations. They also provide an opportunity to regularly assess crime and crash reduction, cost savings, and other outcome measures that define success.

7. Outcomes
   Goals and objectives that emerge during problem area identification and strategic plan preparation are developed into outcome measures. These measures are used to assess effectiveness relating to reductions in crime, crashes, and traffic violations; cost savings; the use of specific interventions; and personnel deployment.
**DDACTS Model Used to Overhaul STEP**

STEP is used to provide High Visibility Enforcement on high crash corridors and at high-risk time frames such as rodeos, fairs, and community events that have a history of high fatality rates and injuries caused by impaired driving and non-seatbelt usage. This serves as a deterrent to motorists to help prevent traffic violations such as impaired driving and the non-use of seatbelts.

MDT as part of STEP will work with law enforcement to employ the Data Driven Approaches to Crime and Traffic Safety (DDACTS) model. This includes pilot testing this model on High Crash Enforcement Corridors identified by MDT and the MHP.

- Only DUI certified officers may be used for STEP overtime traffic patrols in support of DDACTS.
- Local police departments will be asked to patrol streets that feed traffic into the corridors patrolled by the Montana Highway Patrol’s Roving Patrol unit.
- STEP agencies will patrol targeted enforcement corridors jointly identified by MDT and MHP, when applicable.
- STEP agencies will work with SHTSO’s Law Enforcement Liaison, MHP District Commander, and/or SHTSO Operations Research Analyst to schedule STEP shifts within the identified targeted enforcement corridors when applicable.

This includes:
- Time of day (when DUI is most likely to occur)
- Length of shift
- Special events within proximity to high crash enforcement corridors
- Local high risk corridors identified by local data
- Location of high-risk events and areas

- Rather than requiring one shift per month, sustained enforcement activities will be required at a threshold of at least 3 shifts per quarter. A shift is considered to be a four-hour overtime shift.

(Continued on page 34)
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**HIGH SEVERITY CRASH CORRIDOR with DUI DATA**

[Map showing high severity crash corridors with DUI data]
• Work with MHP on investigating alcohol over
  service as it relates to DUI arrests and crashes.

• STEP agency will contact local media outlets
  such as newspapers, radio stations, and tele-
  vision stations in advance of, during, and/or after
  scheduling STEP patrols.

As in years past, law enforcement agencies with
STEP contracts will be required to participate in:

• the two national mobilizations:
  > Memorial Day mobilization
    (May 21 – June 3, 2012)
  > Labor Day mobilization
    (August 20 – Sept 6, 2012)

• the two state mobilizations:
  > Winter Holiday Season
  > 4th of July Season
    (June 27 – July 5, 2011)

STEP patrols will be done in collaboration with
MHP Strategic Enforcement Team (aka
Roving Patrols), where possible, to increase
the level of HVE during high-risk time periods.
The Respect the Cage exhibit grew out of MDT’s 2009 video creation entitled Room to Live. This video tells the story of two young Montana men who were involved in an alcohol-related rollover crash in 2007. The driver, who was wearing his seatbelt, walked away from the mangled car. His best friend and passenger, who wasn’t buckled up, died. See the Room to Live video online at www.mdt.mt.gov/mdt/room_to_live.shtml This may be the nation’s only traveling seat-belt advocacy effort. On display is the Room to Live vehicle, which demonstrates the intact safety cage even though the front and back of the car are crushed. The driver survived because his seatbelt held him in the cage. His passenger, a married father of two, died because he was ejected and crushed by the vehicle.

This exhibit includes:

- Two pick-up trucks, a GMC and a Ford 250, wrapped in “Respect the Cage – Buckle Up” graphics. The trucks pull the trailers carrying the crashed vehicle and the rollover simulator.
- The crashed vehicle from Room to Live.
- The Room to Live video plays inside the trailer.
- A Rollover Simulator: a compact pickup truck cab affixed to a two-axle, low profile trailer. Acceleration and braking control systems spin the cab to simulate a rollover vehicle crash. A crash-test dummy gets ejected out of the vehicle.
- “Fastest Belt in the West” buckle up contest.
- Prizes and giveaways.

The exhibit’s primary target is men ages 18-34, as Montana statistics show they have a greater danger of being fatally injured in traffic crashes. The Respect the Cage theme borrows language and images from mixed-martial-arts fighting, which is currently popular among young men. To increase the appeal, the exhibit is staffed by college-age interns.

MDT officials also have an electronically timed “fast-buckling contest” to keep things fun and to show how easy it is to put on a seatbelt, and a 12-minute film about Maun's and Lugibihl's story. "I'm responsible for the death of my best friend," Maun says in the film. "There were really no good days after that." Maun faced up to 30 years behind bars for negligent homicide under the influence, but he avoided prison time because Lugibihl's family spoke on his behalf.

The exhibit spreads the message that the 2 seconds it takes to put on your seat belt can save your life. Staffers report that younger people have been receptive to the message, but older generations tend to see seatbelt use, or the lack of it, as a personal choice that's nobody else's business. The interns encourage visitors that even if they don't wear their seatbelt for themselves, to buckle up for the ones they love.

The exhibit won the Best Educational Exhibit Award at the Montana State Fair in Great Falls (July 24-August 3, 2009).
**Hazard Elimination**

### Hazard elimination projects

Projects for the Hazard Elimination funds have not been decided for FFY 2012. The projects will be prioritized using a rating system performed by Engineering’s Traffic and Safety Bureau within the Montana Department of Transportation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Sinclair</td>
<td>164HE</td>
<td>$20,951,758</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,957,758</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Alcohol screening, brief intervention, and referral to treatment (SBIRT)**

**Project description**

Fourth year of funding for the Alcohol Screening, Brief Intervention & Referral to Treatment (SBIRT) program. DPHHS Injury Prevention Program will use the funding to provide continued mentoring for the existing sites (hospitals and university health services) implementing SBIRT protocols. Much of the mentoring will be achieved through DPHHS subcontracting with a registered nurse to provide technical assistance. A formal training will be provided during the year, as well as monthly phone calls and regular on-site visits.

Expansion of the program will be pursued via increased collaboration with the DUI Task Forces, a presentation to the Carroll College Nursing Department to begin the process of getting SBIRT incorporated into the nursing curriculum, marketing of SBIRT through newsletter articles, conference displays, and other venues. DPHHS and the subcontractor will also pursue the possibility of incorporating SBIRT into the Hospital Association’s Performance Improvement Network (PIN) in the critical access hospitals in Montana.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lorelle Demont</td>
<td>410 K8FR</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor**

**Project description**

Continue contracting with Erin Inman to serve as Montana’s Traffic Safety resource Prosecutor (TSRP). The ultimate objective of a TSRP is to improve traffic safety. The TSRP acts as a liaison between prosecutors, the judiciary, law enforcement, community groups, and other stakeholders. The TSRP provides a variety of services, such as:

- phone consultation and on-site visits
- briefs and other legal document templates for the processing of DUI and other traffic safety related cases
- training to enhance the consistent identification, arrest, prosecution and sentencing of traffic safety violations, particularly focusing on the following:
  - Failure to wear seatbelt
  - Failure to use child safety seats appropriate to the height, size, and weight of the child
  - Operating a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol, drugs, or any combination thereof
  - Vehicle crashes involving personal injuries
  - Negligent Homicide involving vehicles
  - Vehicular Homicide While Under the Influence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lorelle Demont</td>
<td>410 K8</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8FR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DUI court implementation

Project description

Continue funding the following operational DUI courts: Yellowstone County (13th Judicial District), Kalispell Municipal, Fort Peck Tribal, 7th Judicial District, and Mineral County Justice Court.

The DUI court program is designed to change the behavior of hardcore DUI offenders by providing intensive supervision and treatment for willing, eligible impaired driving offenders. Upon choosing to join the program, participants come under the Court’s supervision and are required to attend assigned treatment sessions, undergo frequent and random alcohol/drug testing using advanced alcohol testing and monitoring equipment, appear before the judge and DUI court team on a scheduled (usually weekly) basis, and commit to seatbelt use. These courts also address driver licensing and insurance when appropriate, seatbelt and child restraint education, victim notification, and payment of restitution. Participants must meet the requirement of each phase of the DUI court program in order to graduate from the program.

Some of the goals of the program are to reduce recidivism (repeat DUI offenses), treat chemical dependency, hold alcohol/drug dependent offenders accountable for their actions, and provide access to resources and community support to enable participants to acquire the pro-social and other skills necessary for the maintenance of sobriety.

Funding permitting, MDT may providing implementation funding to courts that have previously sent teams to DUI Court training, such as Butte-Silver Bow County, Laurel City, Lake County/City of Polson, and the 9th Judicial District.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lorelle Demont</td>
<td>402 TC</td>
<td>$212,000</td>
<td>$212,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8, 410 K8FR</td>
<td>362,145</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$574,145</td>
<td>$212,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DUI court training

Project description

Send three teams to out-of-state training on the DUI court model. Each team will be awarded up to $8,000 for travel costs for 8 required participants (judge, DUI court coordinator, prosecutor, defense counsel, expert in research & evaluation, law enforcement, treatment, and probation). The training is 3.5 days long. It covers the 10 guiding principles of the DUI court model and includes an on-site visit to an academy DUI court. Applications are required and the process may be competitive if more than three teams apply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lorelle Demont</td>
<td>410 K8FR</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statewide DUI Task Force Facilitator

**Continuing**

Project description

Continue contracting with Missoula County DUI Task Force Coordinator, Lonie Hutchison, to serve as a technical resource for the state’s DUI task forces in creating and implementing their annual plans, promote consistency and unity among existing DUI task forces, and increase the number of counties in Montana to form and implement their own DUI task forces in the state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lorelle Demont</td>
<td>402 AL</td>
<td>$20,700</td>
<td>$20,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8FR</td>
<td>$23,300</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$44,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20,700</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supplemental funding for DUI Task Forces

**Continuing**

Project description

DUI task forces operate at the county level to reduce and prevent impaired driving and engage in a variety of activities. Effective DUI task forces are an important mechanism for decreasing the state’s alcohol-related injury and fatality rates at the local level.

The county DUI Task Forces are funded by driver license reinstatement fees, provided by Montana law according to MCA 61-2-107. Many task forces operate on small annual budgets. Supplemental funding will be provided to DUI Task Forces such as Lincoln County to increase their ability to conduct prevention, education, and enforcement efforts to reduce impaired driving and associated crashes, injuries and fatalities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lorelle Demont</td>
<td>410 K8</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$15,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Countermeasures to reduce impaired motorcycle operation

**Motorcycle Safety**

Project description

We now have four media companies under contract with MDT and will decide which agency will do a media related motorcycle safety campaign prior to spring FFY 2012. We also continue with our MDT website on motorcycle safety that includes campaign related information on drunken driving prevention. Motorcycle safety related information for the public is available on the following MDT website:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>2010 K6</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** $10,000 $0

---

**Montana Motorcycle Rider Safety Program**

Project description

In FFY 2011, the State Highway Traffic Safety Office (SHTSO) contracted with the Montana Motorcycle Rider Safety program to purchase four 35 new motorcycles and four trailers in support of the Beginning and Experienced Riders Courses. This contract extends into 2012. In FFY 2008, the SHTSO contracted MSU to purchase 30 motorcycles for the same purpose. The newer motorcycles allow novice motorcyclists to improve their riding skills and become safer motorcyclists upon Montana’s roadways before purchasing their own motorcycles. Also, these purchases provide MMRS the capability to increase the number of students that can participate in the Motorcycle Safety Foundation’s Basic RiderCourse. These additional bikes will help prevent MMRS from rejecting prospective students.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>2010 K6</td>
<td>$164,500</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** $164,500 $0
Buckle Up Montana program

**Project description**

Continue contracting with an Occupant Protection contractor who will develop and implement a statewide public information and education program that reaches out to various demographics to increase the use of seat belts in motor vehicles. This outreach will cover at least 80% of Montana’s population.

Contractor will develop and submit a comprehensive public information and education plan for increasing seatbelt usage throughout Montana which should, at a minimum, include the following:

- A description of the allocation, deployment and management of all personnel involved in project.
- A detailed budget proposal and corresponding budget narrative.
- Mechanisms for providing programmatic and financial review and evaluation of the program

This is year 3 of a contract that was renewable for up to 3 years. State purchasing policies require a competitive bid process for continuing this project beyond FFY 2011.

---

**Occupant protection**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pam Buckman</td>
<td>402 OP</td>
<td>$192,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>405 K2</td>
<td>88,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$280,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$100,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Child Passenger Safety technician and instructor development

**Project description**

Continuation of child passenger safety certification training to help maintain a pool of CPS technicians and instructors throughout the state to show parents and care providers how to properly use and install child safety seats. This program includes hosting an annual CPS Technician & Instructor Update.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pam Buckman</td>
<td>405 K2</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$20,000</strong></td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Child Safety Seats

Project description

Purchase child safety seats for distribution to low income families. Seats will be shipped directly to local permanent CPS inspection stations and also to CPS technicians in counties that don’t have inspection stations.

The availability of free child restraints for low income parents/caregivers increases the chances that these children will be properly restrained every trip, every time. Equally as important is the opportunity to educate them about overall child passenger safety for all their children now and in the future, as well as the importance of every vehicle occupant being properly restrained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pam Buckman</td>
<td>405 K2</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$20,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$20,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects covering multiple emphasis areas

Media

Project description

This project includes development and distribution of public information and education materials on seatbelt usage, child safety seats, impaired driving prevention, motorcycle safety, distracted driving, and other traffic safety related information. High visibility enforcement campaigns are publicized through both earned and paid media using radio and television and other types of media before, during, and after enforcement overtime activities. Certain campaigns will coincide with May and Labor Day National Mobilizations.


During FFY 2010, MDT issued a competitive request for proposal for media services. Four contractors were chosen to support NHTSA media related campaigns in Montana. These contractors are knowledgeable of Montana’s markets and are able to conduct an analysis to determine the optimum media channels for reaching specific target audiences on traffic safety.

With television and radio buys, media buyers are required by contract with MDT to obtain no charge bonus media of equal or greater value than purchased media. Paid media will be evaluated based upon gross rating points (GRPs), reach and frequency obtained in FFY 2011 and FFY 2012.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Media Channel(s)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sustained enforcement. Includes Impaired Driving &amp; Seat-belts.</td>
<td>410 405</td>
<td>Oct 2011 – Sept 2012</td>
<td>Radio/Television News releases written by SHTSO staff</td>
<td>Managed by MDT staff or contracted media company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seatbelt May Mobilization. Includes Impaired Driving message.</td>
<td>410 405</td>
<td>May 2012</td>
<td>Radio/ Television/ other media venues. News releases written by SHTSO staff</td>
<td>Involves media contractor. Includes incentive items. Includes before, during, and after media in support of each scheduled law enforcement activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle safety</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>May 2012</td>
<td>TV, radio, MDT website</td>
<td>Specific Motorcycle Safety campaign is currently unknown at this time prior to spring in FFY 2012. May include incentive items.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American Safe on All Roads Media Program for Impaired Driving and Occupant Protection</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>Oct 2011 – Sept 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>Currently MDT is transitioning the SOAR program from the media contractor to MDT staff. Request for Proposals are being solicited from the Tribes by MDT. MDT will potentially enter into contracts with 7 reservations to conduct SOAR activities. Includes incentive items.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>402 AL, 402 OP, 402 SE, 402 CR, 402 YA, 402 DD, 402 MC, 402 PM</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 K2, 405 K2 PM</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 K8, 410 K8PM, 410 K8FR, 410 K8HVE</td>
<td>$330,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$550,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respect the Cage

Project description

Four or more interns attend various events around the state teaching the public about the importance of buckling up and not driving impaired. This project includes a demonstration of rollover simulator and display of crashed vehicle that had actually been involved in rollover crash killing an occupant that was not wearing a seatbelt. A project coordinator is funded to manage this program. This project is funded at a proportionality of 15% alcohol, 85% occupant protection. This proportionality is based on the actual program delivery.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Sinclair</td>
<td>402 OP, 402 AL</td>
<td>$164,100</td>
<td>$106,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$164,100</td>
<td>$106,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Safe On All Roads (SOAR) Program

Project description

Public information & education campaign to increase seatbelt use and impaired driving prevention on all 7 reservations. The goal is to provide messaging that is culturally relevant and resonates with the target audience. Project includes coordinator wages, travel, production and dissemination of PI&E materials and messaging. Please refer to the following website for more information about the SOAR media program: www.safeonallroads.com.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Sinclair</td>
<td>402 OP, 402 PM, 402 AL</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Sinclair</td>
<td>410 K8</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori Ryan—MDT Director’s Office</td>
<td>410 K8FR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$130,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program

**Project description**

Continue funding overtime law enforcement traffic patrols to address impaired driving, occupant protection, speed, and distracted driving. STEP participants are required to participate in the two national mobilizations: Memorial Day Mobilization to increase seatbelt use and Labor Day Mobilization to counter impaired driving. Law enforcement also participates in two state mobilizations: July 4th and the winter holiday season from Thanksgiving Day to New Year’s. STEP participants are required to perform three additional shifts per quarter based on local high-risk events and times. A shift is considered to be a four-hour patrol.

This year, STEP funding recipients will also be required to work with the Montana Highway Patrol Strategic Enforcement Team and patrol streets that feed into the high-risk enforcement corridors that the STET Team is patrolling.

STEP participants include city, county, state, and tribal law enforcement agencies.

Continue contracting with the Montana Sheriffs and Peace Officers Association to provide programmatic and fiscal coordination of STEP contracts with some of the sheriffs offices and police departments serving sparse rural populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>402 SE, 402 OP</td>
<td>$438,200</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8, 410 K8 FR</td>
<td>261,800</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$700,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$400,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To the left are the current members of the Strategic Traffic Enforcement Team.

Left to right Trooper Schrock, Trooper Neiminen, Trooper Scheer, and Sergeant Kitchen.

MHP Strategic Traffic Enforcement Team (aka Roving Patrols)

**Project description**

The Strategic Traffic Enforcement Team (STET) of the Montana Highway Patrol (MHP) consists of 1 sergeant and 5 troopers that conduct roving patrols in high crash corridors identified in conjunction by MDT & MHP. This team seeks out their own media coverage to enhance their high visibility enforcement activities and increase general deterrence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>410 K8</td>
<td>$490,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8HVE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$490,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**MHP Traffic Safety Resource Officer**

**Continuing**

**Project description**

Fund one full-time MHP Traffic Safety Resource Officer (TSRO) to serve as a liaison between the Montana Highway Patrol and local law enforcement officers, tribal governments, prosecutors, judges and the public. This person has skills in training, management, coordination and public relations. The TSRO focuses on impaired driving issues and programs (SFST/DRE/MIDAC); prevention of racial profiling; occupant restraint issues; traffic records management and issues related to young drivers. The TSRO works closely with the Traffic Safety Resource Prosecutor and MDT Law Enforcement Liaison.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>410 K8</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8FR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$120,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SFST, ARIDE and DRE Training for Law Enforcement**

**Continuing**

**Project description**

Training for law enforcement on best practices for identifying and arresting impaired drivers, including drivers that are under the influence of drugs other than alcohol. Training will include Standard Field Sobriety Testing (SFST) refresher training, Advanced Roadside Impaired Driving Enforcement (ARIDE) training, and Drug Recognition Expert (DRE) training.

MHP will offer the following:

- SFST training for local, tribal and state agencies
- ARIDE classes at least once a month throughout the state
- DRE recertifications for Drug Recognition Experts (to prevent lapses in certification)

The last two years have been spent expanding the DRE program, and including ARIDE training. This year will concentrate on creating an SFST instructor base at the local level.

The Montana Highway Patrol and the State Highway Traffic Safety Office will be working together this year to bring a much needed SFST assessment to our state. This, combined with the last two years of intensive training, will enable the state Public Safety Officer’s Standard and Training (POST) council to effectively implement a standardized DUI Officer certification. This certification will require officers to stay abreast of recent changes in SFST standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>410 K8</td>
<td>$80,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8FR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$80,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Planning and Administration  
**Project description**
Salaries and benefits for staff of the MDT State Highway Traffic Safety Office.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Sinclair</td>
<td>402 PA</td>
<td>$178,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8PA</td>
<td>83,109</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$261,109</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Staff Salaries  
**Project description**
Salaries and benefits for staff of the MDT State Highway Traffic Safety Office.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Sinclair</td>
<td>402 AL, 402 OP, 402 PT, 402 TR</td>
<td>$222,427</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>62,154</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$284,581</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Project description**
Staff and other travel & training, operating supplies, GHSA dues, travel reimbursement for public to attend meetings & trainings such as the annual CHSP session, annual DUI Task Force meeting, and CPS Instructors to conduct 4-day certification trainings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priscilla Sinclair</td>
<td>402 PA</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410 K8</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906 K10</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$32,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project description

In accordance with state law, the Montana Department of Transportation assesses an indirect cost on all projects. The approved rate for State Fiscal Year 2012 is 9.64%. A new rate will be determined for SFY 2013, effective July 1, 2012.

Indirect cost has already been accounted for so funding amounts approved in this plan represent actual amounts available for contracts and/or expenditures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>$166,620</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>405</td>
<td>17,641</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>408</td>
<td>122,202</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>410</td>
<td>192,242</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1906</td>
<td>40,841</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>27,206</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>164 HE</td>
<td>2,019,749</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$2,586,501</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Continuing

Project description

The State Highway Traffic Safety Office will contract with the Montana Highway Patrol this year to do a citation study. This study is a continuation of the Prevention of Racial Profiling contract with the Highway Patrol since FFY 2008. This contract helped the patrol purchase a state of the art citation tracking system. This system has been operational since 2009 and now contains enough citation information to begin a study in FFY 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angie Mullikin</td>
<td>1906 K10</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Above is the east side of the Lewis mountain range in Glacier National Park. The center of the photo depicts Mount Cleveland, one of the highest peaks in Glacier park at 10,479 feet above sea level. This photo was taken to the east of the park on the Blackfeet reservation lands.
Traffic Records

MDT ISD Contracted Services

Project description

Continue funding MDT ISD to provide a project manager to assist SHTSO in managing the Section 408 traffic records program and explore possibilities for increased integration of the traffic record systems.

The goal is to facilitate detailed data analysis of many different aspects of traffic-safety including, but not limited to: crash data; arrests, prosecution, adjudication of traffic-safety related offenses; emergency medical services response times; driver and vehicle information; and roadway safety characteristics for all road users. This data will be used to help improve the process of justifying the need for various traffic-safety related programs.

This supports the Implementation Management & Control project listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 which has a high priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$30,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MHP CTS Data Project Manager

Project description

Training of local law enforcement on the use of CTS-America/SmartCop system by MHP. Involves funding one MHP FTE to provide training and coordinating efforts with local law enforcement to get ready to use the Web-Based Crash Reporting system. FFY 2012 is the second year of the three-year project. This will ensure crash data across the state is collected in a uniform manner and with timely submission to the central database.

This project supports the Web-Based Crash Reporting project listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 which has a high priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$140,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Web-Based Crash Reporting Application

#### Project description

Mid-September 2009 marked the completion of the Montana Highway Patrol (MHP) first full year with the CTS-America Computer Aided Dispatch/Records Management System (CAD/RMS). The patrol is continuing the implementation of the CTS-America system with the next phase: development of a web-based crash reporting system that allows local law enforcement to input their crashes directly into the MHP’s new system. Currently, local law enforcement are submitting their crash data into the Montana Accident Reporting System (MARS).

This project is the final stages of development of the web-based user interface application for local (non-MHP) law enforcement to electronically submit their crash data to MHP using the up-to-date Minimum Model Uniform Crash Criteria (MMUCC) guidelines.

*This project is listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 with a high priority.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$80,500</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** $80,500 $00

---

**Sergeant Cal Schock of the Montana Highway Patrol giving a demonstration of the Web-based crash reporting system at the Tribal Safety summit.**

**The 2011 Tribal Safety Summit was held June 7, in Helena, MT.**
Court System Interface

Continuing

Project description

Continuation of a project that began in October 2009 to establish interactions between MDT’s Information Broker and the DOJ’s Integrated Justice Information Services Broker (IJIS Broker). This will expand the dataset received from the Central Court Repository (CCR) via the IJIS Broker and allow traffic safety citation and adjudication data to be used in conjunction with crash data. This will also allow for the development of standardized reports and allow for customized queries for in-depth analysis and tracking of trends in enforcement, citation, and adjudication activities, especially relating to driving under the influence, speeding and occupant protection.

This project is listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 with a medium priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Safety Information Management System

Continuing

Project description

In 2008, the Montana Highway Patrol (MHP) implemented a new, MMUCC-compliant system. The current Safety Management System is not capable of accepting the full set of data elements provided by the MHP, resulting in multiple entries and conversion of the MMUCC compliant data causing data quality issues. Overall, the system is not meeting customer needs.

The new Safety Information Management System (SIMS) will provide MDT proactive capabilities to analyze crash data, perform system-wide analyses, allow of greater efficiency in work and ultimately allow for linkage/integration with multiple data sources (crash, roadway information, citation/adjudication, EMS) to become a more complete traffic records analysis system. SIMS will help MDT reach its goal of reducing the number and severity of highway crashes in Montana by securing a more robust set of crash data, with improved data accuracy, particularly with identifying crash locations and high-incident roadways through the use of GPS. GPS would align with the MHP’s use of GPS.

This project will also address several recommendations made in the NHTSA Traffic Records Assessment conducted in 2009. Those recommendations that are met wholly or partially by this project are in strategic planning, data integration, data analysis and uses and incorporating uniform data standards.

This project is listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 with a high priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DPHHS Web-Based Trauma Registry Data Entry for Hospitals**

*Project description*

The Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services, EMS & Trauma Systems Section (EMSTS), is developing a Health Information and Resource Management System (HIRMS) which represents a secure, web-based software solution for collecting patient care information. HIRMS improves decision-making through the timely delivery of accurate and uniform data to the appropriate entities.

This project continues to lay the foundation for sharing enhanced EMS data, specifically for the smaller hospitals in the state. These hospitals collect and submit trauma data manually to the EMSTS. This data is then hand-entered into the state Trauma Registry by EMSTS staff. Currently this requires 0.75 FTE to do the manual process. This project will create a web-based version of the Trauma Registry that would facilitate local entry of trauma data by hospitals. Additionally, a reports dashboard will enable the hospitals to query their own data. The information supports data-driven operational decisions and performance measures related to highway traffic safety. The information will provide more detail in responses to data requests from other state agencies, health care systems and entities, legislators, insurance companies, and private citizens.

*This project supports the Linkage of EMS, Crash, Hospital and Post-Hospital Data project listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 which has a high/medium priority.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$59,500</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$59,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DOJ Network Infrastructure Improvement Pilot Project**

*Project description*

Due to the DOJ network bandwidth being too small, access to many DOJ databases is limited. In order to allow for expansion of access this bandwidth needs to be increased. These funds will provide for the extra bandwidth and will facilitate wider access for many agencies, including local law enforcement with the web-based crash reporting and MDT with 24/7 access to crash information and integrated electronic communication with Motor Carrier Services.

While this project is listed as a new project this federal fiscal year, it is a specific project and continuation of the broad range of law enforcement equipment needs identified in the FFY 2011 HSP.

*This project is listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 with a high priority.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$87,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$87,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**DPHHS Enterprise Version of Pentaho Business Intelligence Suite**

**Project description**

The Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services, EMS & Trauma Systems Section (EMSTS), is developing a Health Information and Resource Management System (HIRMS) which represents a secure, web-based software solution for collecting patient care information. HIRMS improves decision-making through the timely delivery of accurate and uniform data to the appropriate entities.

In 2009, §408 funds were used to deploy ten static reports that allow EMS services and the State to run reports that summarize information about all EMS calls, including reports for specific responses, information about response times, patient assessments/treatments and evaluation of the accuracy and completeness of the data. This project will build upon and enhance the work completed to date by purchasing the Enterprise Edition of the Pentaho Business Intelligence (BI) Suite. This will increase the reporting and analysis functionality of the HIRMS and allow for future linking on trauma, crash and other related systems.

*This project supports the Linkage of EMS, Crash, Hospital and Post-Hospital Data project listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 which has a high/medium priority.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$63,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$63,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DPHHS OPHI-PRC Tablet Data Collection**

**Project description**

Associated with the Health Information and Resource Management System (HIRMS) is the Online Pre-Hospital Information—Patient Care Record (OPHI-PRC) which collects patient care information from EMS calls. This project will enable field collection of OPHI-PRC data on a tablet in real time. Currently the information is collected on paper then entered into the computer at a later time. The goal of the project is to enable real-time collection of data, eliminating duplication of data collection and increasing accuracy and completeness of information collected.

*This project supports the Linkage of EMS, Crash, Hospital and Post-Hospital Data project listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 which has a high/medium priority.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td><strong>$80,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$00</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$80,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DOJ MHP Crime Analysis Mapping Tool

Project description

The Montana Highway Patrol (MHP) would like to purchase software that will enable them to utilize the data in their crash and citation databases for various enforcement activities, including locating hotspots for crashes, DUIs, speed or any other category that would be beneficial for the effective deployment of limited manpower. This will allow MHP to identify and analyze crashes around critical infrastructure in a timely fashion. CTS America (the developer of MHP’s records management system) has worked closely with Bradshaw Consulting Services (the developer of the crime analysis tool) and there is a proved record of the two systems working well together. This tool could eventually be leveraged by other agencies.

This project is still in the research stage and is not currently listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$65,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOJ MVD Enhancing Driver Records

Project description

The current software used to image and retain important paper documents electronically in conjunction with the driver record (defined in MCA §61-11-102) needs to be updated. At least two critical aspects of system functionality are missing: the ability to create a subfolder structure within a driver file that has a capacity for automated information delivery and the ability to append an electronic document to driver record without manually printing the document for re-scan and indexing. Today, driver record information may be inaccessible or delayed do to system/software limitations (completeness, accuracy, timeliness).

DOJ is considering how to upgrade and enhance electronically retained documents (documents that are sometimes provided by drivers themselves) in furtherance of driver identification and offender accountability.

This project supports the IIS Broker project listed in the Traffic Records Strategic Plan Annual Element 2011 which has a high priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDT-SHTSO Contract Manager</th>
<th>Funding source</th>
<th>Amount approved</th>
<th>Benefit to local government</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Murphy</td>
<td>408 K9</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During FFY 2011, Montana successfully applied for and received funding from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. These grant monies include Section 405 (Occupant Protection), 408 (Traffic Records), 410 (Impaired Driving Prevention), 1906 (Prevention of Racial Profiling), and 2010 (Motorcycle Safety). Base level funding under Section 402 was received following the submission of a Performance Plan in accordance with federal law. Montana estimates carry forward into FFY 2012 to be $2,342,284 which includes funding for contracts spanning FFY 2011-2012. Montana anticipates again qualifying for Section 402, 405, 408, 410, 1906 and 2010 funds in FFY 2012.

Section 164 Penalty Transfer funds will carry forward from FFY 2011 into FFY 2012.

As required by federal law, at least 40 percent of Section 402 funds will be spent at the local level by city, county, and tribal governments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Area</th>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year Funds</th>
<th>Carry Forward Funds</th>
<th>Share to Local</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Courts</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Plan TC-2012-09-00-00</td>
<td>$143,338.00</td>
<td>$252,000.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td>$252,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Courts Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Restraint</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Plan CR-2012-10-00-00</td>
<td>$22,752.00</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td>$34,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Restraint Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid Advertising</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Plan PM-2012-11-00-00</td>
<td>$49,520.00</td>
<td>$87,060.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid Advertising Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Alcohol</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Plan YA-2012-12-00-00</td>
<td>$8,532.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td>$6,750.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Alcohol Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distracted Driving</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Plan DD-2012-13-00-00</td>
<td>$8,532.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distracted Driving Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 Occupant Protection</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Plan K2-2012-14-00-00</td>
<td>$384,000.00</td>
<td>$140,000.00</td>
<td>$72,300.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 Occupant Protection Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 Paid Media</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Plan PM-2012-15-00-00</td>
<td>$165,000.00</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 Paid Media Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 OP SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Plan K2PM-2012-16-00-00</td>
<td>$332,655.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,330,619.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 OP SAFETEA-LU Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408 Data Program SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Plan K9-2012-20-00-00</td>
<td>$322,655.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$1,330,619.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408 Data Program SAFETEA-LU Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408 Data Program Incentive Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 Alcohol SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Plan K8-2012-17-00-00</td>
<td>$2,196,000.00</td>
<td>$402,000.00</td>
<td>$330,000.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 Alcohol SAFETEA-LU Paid Media Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 Alcohol Planning and Administration</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Plan K8PA-2012-18-00-00</td>
<td>$3,019,500.00</td>
<td>$504,200.00</td>
<td>$502,300.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 Alcohol Planning and Administration Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 High Fatality Rate</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Plan K8FA-2012-19-00-00</td>
<td>$3,019,500.00</td>
<td>$504,200.00</td>
<td>$502,300.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 High Fatality Rate Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 High Visibility</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Plan K8HV-2012-20-00-00</td>
<td>$3,019,500.00</td>
<td>$504,200.00</td>
<td>$502,300.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Area</td>
<td>Line</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Project</td>
<td>State</td>
<td>Current Fiscal Year Funds</td>
<td>Carry Forward Funds</td>
<td>Share to Local</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Motorcycle Safety</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>K6-2012-22-00-00</td>
<td>$00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$122,500.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Motorcycle Safety Incentive</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Plan</td>
<td>K10-2012-21-00-00</td>
<td>$105,916.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td>$423,665.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 Motorcycle Safety Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$105,916.00</td>
<td>$423,665.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906 Prohibit Racial Profiling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906 Prohibit Racial Profiling Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$105,916.00</td>
<td>$423,665.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906 Prohibit Racial Profiling Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$105,916.00</td>
<td>$423,665.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 Hazard Elimination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 Transfer Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 Transfer Funds Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,900,000.00</td>
<td>$13,051,758.00</td>
<td>$.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHTSA Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,888,580.00</td>
<td>$12,548,420.00</td>
<td>$787,325.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 Hazard Elimination Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,888,580.00</td>
<td>$12,548,420.00</td>
<td>$787,325.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164 Transfer Funds Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,888,580.00</td>
<td>$12,548,420.00</td>
<td>$787,325.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$14,888,580.00</td>
<td>$12,548,420.00</td>
<td>$787,325.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tim Reardon, Director

Date

[Logo: Montana Department of Transportation]
STATE CERTIFICATIONS AND ASSURANCES

Failure to comply with applicable Federal statutes, regulations and directives may subject State officials to civil or criminal penalties and/or place the State in a high risk grantee status in accordance with 49 CFR 18.12.

Each fiscal year the State will sign these Certifications and Assurances that the State complies with all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, and directives in effect with respect to the periods for which it receives grant funding. Applicable provisions include, but not limited to, the following:

- 23 U.S.C. Chapter 4 - Highway Safety Act of 1966, as amended
- 49 CFR Part 18 - Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments
- 23 CFR Chapter II - (§§1200, 1205, 1206, 1250, 1251, & 1252) Regulations governing highway safety programs
- NHTSA Order 462-6C - Matching Rates for State and Community Highway Safety Programs
- Highway Safety Grant Funding Policy for Field-Administered Grants

Certifications and Assurances

Section 402 Requirements

The Governor is responsible for the administration of the State highway safety program through a State highway safety agency which has adequate powers and is suitably equipped and organized (as evidenced by appropriate oversight procedures governing such areas as procurement, financial administration, and the use, management, and disposition of equipment) to carry out the program (23 USC 402(b) (1) (A));

The political subdivisions of this State are authorized, as part of the State highway safety program, to carry out within their jurisdictions local highway safety programs which have been approved by the Governor and are in accordance with the uniform guidelines promulgated by the Secretary of Transportation (23 USC 402(b) (1) (B));

At least 40 per cent of all Federal funds apportioned to this State under 23 USC 402 for this fiscal year will be expended by or for the benefit of the political subdivision of the State in carrying out local highway safety programs (23 USC 402(b) (1) (C)), unless this requirement is waived in writing;
This State's highway safety program provides adequate and reasonable access for the safe and convenient movement of physically handicapped persons, including those in wheelchairs, across curbs constructed or replaced on or after July 1, 1976, at all pedestrian crosswalks (23 USC 402(b) (1)(D));

The State will implement activities in support of national highway safety goals to reduce motor vehicle related fatalities that also reflect the primary data-related crash factors within the State as identified by the State highway safety planning process, including:

- National law enforcement mobilizations,
- Sustained enforcement of statutes addressing impaired driving, occupant protection, and driving in excess of posted speed limits,
- An annual statewide safety belt use survey in accordance with criteria established by the Secretary for the measurement of State safety belt use rates to ensure that the measurements are accurate and representative,
- Development of statewide data systems to provide timely and effective data analysis to support allocation of highway safety resources. (23 USC 402(b)(1)(E));

The State shall actively encourage all relevant law enforcement agencies in the State to follow the guidelines established for vehicular pursuits issued by the International Association of Chiefs of Police that are currently in effect. (23 USC 402(l)).

Other Federal Requirements

Cash drawdowns will be initiated only when actually needed for disbursement. 49 CFR 18.20

Cash disbursements and balances will be reported in a timely manner as required by NHTSA. 49 CFR 18.21.

The same standards of timing and amount, including the reporting of cash disbursement and balances, will be imposed upon any secondary recipient organizations. 49 CFR 18.41.

Failure to adhere to these provisions may result in the termination of drawdown privileges.

The State has submitted appropriate documentation for review to the single point of contact designated by the Governor to review Federal programs, as required by Executive Order 12372 (Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs);

Equipment acquired under this agreement for use in highway safety program areas shall be used and kept in operation for highway safety purposes by the State; or the State, by formal agreement with appropriate officials of a political subdivision or State agency,
shall cause such equipment to be used and kept in operation for highway safety purposes.

23 CFR 1200.21

The State will comply with all applicable State procurement procedures and will maintain a financial management system that complies with the minimum requirements of 49 CFR 18.20;

**Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA)**


- Name of the entity receiving the award;
- Amount of the award;
- Information on the award including transaction type, funding agency, the North American Industry Classification System code or Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number (where applicable), program source;
- Location of the entity receiving the award and the primary location of performance under the award, including the city, State, congressional district, and country; and an award title descriptive of the purpose of each funding action;
- A unique identifier (DUNS);
- The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the entity if-- of the entity receiving the award and of the parent entity of the recipient, should the entity be owned by another entity;

(i) the entity in the preceding fiscal year received—

(I) 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards; and
(II) $25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards; and
(ii) the public does not have access to information about the compensation of the senior executives of the entity through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986;

- Other relevant information specified by OMB guidance.

The State highway safety agency will comply with all Federal statutes and implementing regulations relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin (and 49 CFR Part 21); (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C. §§ 1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. § 794) and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 USC § 12101, et seq.; PL 101-336), which prohibits
discrimination on the basis of disabilities (and 49 CFR Part 27); (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§ 6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age; (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse of alcoholism; (g) §§ 523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§ 290 dd-3 and 290 ee-3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§ 3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, which provides that any portion of a state or local entity receiving federal funds will obligate all programs or activities of that entity to comply with these civil rights laws; and, (k) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.


The State will provide a drug-free workplace by:

a. Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;

b. Establishing a drug-free awareness program to inform employees about:

1. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace.

2. The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace.

3. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs.

4. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug violations occurring in the workplace.

c. Making it a requirement that each employee engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a).

d. Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will --
1. Abide by the terms of the statement.

2. Notify the employer of any criminal drug statute conviction for a violation occurring in the workplace no later than five days after such conviction.

e. Notifying the agency within ten days after receiving notice under subparagraph (d) (2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction.

f. Taking one of the following actions, within 30 days of receiving notice under subparagraph (d) (2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted -

1. Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination.

2. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency.

g. Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), and (f) above.

**BUY AMERICA ACT**

The State will comply with the provisions of the Buy America Act (49 U.S.C. 5323(j)) which contains the following requirements:

Only steel, iron and manufactured products produced in the United States may be purchased with Federal funds unless the Secretary of Transportation determines that such domestic purchases would be inconsistent with the public interest; that such materials are not reasonably available and of a satisfactory quality; or that inclusion of domestic materials will increase the cost of the overall project contract by more than 25 percent. Clear justification for the purchase of non-domestic items must be in the form of a waiver request submitted to and approved by the Secretary of Transportation.

**POLITICAL ACTIVITY (HATCH ACT).**

The State will comply, as applicable, with provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

**CERTIFICATION REGARDING FEDERAL LOBBYING**
Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

1. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

2. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.

3. The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all sub-award at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grant, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each such failure.

RESTRICTION ON STATE LOBBYING

None of the funds under this program will be used for any activity specifically designed to urge or influence a State or local legislator to favor or oppose the adoption of any specific legislative proposal pending before any State or local legislative body. Such activities include both direct and indirect (e.g., "grassroots") lobbying activities, with one exception. This does not preclude a State official whose salary is supported with NHTSA funds from engaging in direct communications with State or local legislative officials, in accordance with customary State practice, even if such communications urge legislative officials to favor or oppose the adoption of a specific pending legislative proposal.
CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT AND SUSPENSION

Instructions for Primary Certification

1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective primary participant is providing the certification set out below.

2. The inability of a person to provide the certification required below will not necessarily result in denial of participation in this covered transaction. The prospective participant shall submit an explanation of why it cannot provide the certification set out below. The certification or explanation will be considered in connection with the department or agency's determination whether to enter into this transaction. However, failure of the prospective primary participant to furnish a certification or an explanation shall disqualify such person from participation in this transaction.

3. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when the department or agency determined to enter into this transaction. If it is later determined that the prospective primary participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

4. The prospective primary participant shall provide immediate written notice to the department or agency to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective primary participant learns its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

5. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meaning set out in the Definitions and coverage sections of 49 CFR Part 29. You may contact the department or agency to which this proposal is being submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

6. The prospective primary participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency entering into this transaction.

7. The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transaction," provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.
8. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the list of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-procurement Programs.

9. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

10. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 6 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters—Primary Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that its principals:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of record, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or Local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and

(d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State, or local) terminated for cause or default.
(2) Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the Statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

Instructions for Lower Tier Certification

1. By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.

2. The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

3. The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

4. The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definition and Coverage sections of 49 CFR Part 29. You may contact the person to whom this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

5. The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

6. The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled "Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transaction," without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions. (See below)

7. A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its
principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Non-procurement Programs.

8. Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

9. Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 5 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR Part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion -- Lower Tier Covered Transactions:

1. The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

2. Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

POLICY TO BAN TEXT MESSAGING WHILE DRIVING

In accordance with Executive Order 13513, Federal Leadership On Reducing Text Messaging While Driving, and DOT Order 3902.10, Text Messaging While Driving, States are encouraged to:

(1) Adopt and enforce workplace safety policies to decrease crashed caused by distracted driving including policies to ban text messaging while driving—
   a. Company-owned or -rented vehicles, or Government-owned, leased or rented vehicles; or
   b. Privately-owned when on official Government business or when performing any work on or behalf of the Government.

(2) Conduct workplace safety iniatives in a manner commensurate with the size of the business, such as—
   a. Establishment of new rules and programs or re-evaluation of existing programs to prohibit text messaging while driving; and
b. Education, awareness, and other outreach to employees about the safety risks associated with texting while driving.

**ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

The Governor's Representative for Highway Safety has reviewed the State's Fiscal Year highway safety planning document and hereby declares that no significant environmental impact will result from implementing this Highway Safety Plan. If, under a future revision, this Plan will be modified in such a manner that a project would be instituted that could affect environmental quality to the extent that a review and statement would be necessary, this office is prepared to take the action necessary to comply with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 USC 4321 et seq.) and the implementing regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR Parts 1500-1517).

______________________________
Governor's Representative for Highway Safety

______________________________
Montana

State or Commonwealth

2012
For Fiscal Year
8/24/2011
Date
## Timelines and milestones for FFY 2012 funding

(October 1, 2011 – September 30, 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Highway Safety Planning Meeting.</td>
<td>June 8–9, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants prior and after the annual meeting have the opportunity to submit applications of proposed projects.</td>
<td>June 30, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application review and assessment. Funding and project recommendations made to the Governor’s Representative (GR) for Highway Traffic Safety. GR makes final decision. Includes review of projects from previous years.</td>
<td>By July 20, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Annual Highway Safety Plan (HSP) prepared by MDT staff based on GR’s final decisions on projects and submitted him for approval.</td>
<td>August 1–August 26, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for Annual Highway Safety Plan submission to NHTSA.</td>
<td>September 1, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for NHTSA to notify state whether or not FFY 2010 Highway Safety Plan is approved.</td>
<td>September 30, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification to applicants regarding funding approval or denial.</td>
<td>October 15, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary contract negotiations.</td>
<td>timeline varies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Federal Fiscal Year 2011  
Contracts are finalized and routed for signatures. Contracts are effective once all signatures have been obtained.                                                                                   | October 1, 2011 – September 30, 2012 |
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE
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Governor’s Representative for Highway Traffic Safety ................................................. (406) 444-6201
Tim Reardon jilynch@mt.gov

Public Information Officer (for all media inquiries) .................................................. (406) 444-7205
Lori Ryan lryan@mt.gov

State Highway Traffic Safety Officer ........................................................................ (406) 444-7417
Priscilla Sinclair psinclair@mt.gov

Operations Research Analyst (for all data inquiries) ................................................ (406) 444-3430
Danielle Murphy dmurphy@mt.gov

Fatality Analysis Reporting System (FARS) Analyst ............................................... (406) 444-7302
John Robinson jrobinson@mt.gov

Grants Accountant .................................................................................................... (406) 444-3675
Angie Mullikin

Fiscal Supervisor ........................................................................................................ (406) 444-6100
Brooke Amestoy bamestoy@mt.gov

Acting Law Enforcement Liaison ............................................................................ (406) 444-7301
Angie Mullikin amullikin@mt.gov

Occupant Protection Program Manager ................................................................... (406) 444-0809
Pam Buckman pbuckman@mt.gov

Impaired Driving Prevention Program Manager ...................................................... (406) 444-7411
Lorelle Demont ldemont@mt.gov

FAX Number ............................................................................................................. (406) 444-9409

Front Desk .................................................................................................................. (406) 444-6200

**Mailing address**
State Highway Traffic Safety
PO Box 201001
Helena MT  59620-1001

**Physical location**
State Highway Traffic Safety
2701 Prospect Avenue
Helena MT  59620-1001

**On the web**
www.mdt.mt.gov/safety/safetyprg.shtml
Each state has a Highway Traffic Safety Office that receives and manages funding from the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration for implementing behavioral programs aimed at improving traffic safety.

In Montana, the planning cycle begins in late winter/early spring and summer with the solicitation of applications for funding. Funding is competitive and funds are usually awarded on an annual basis, even for projects that may span longer than one year.

For information on applying for funding, please contact the State Highway Traffic Safety Office or go online to www.mdt.mt.gov/safety/grants.shtml.